

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/897,316	Applicant(s) AGOSTINI ET AL.	
	Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(2) Mr. Henry Young.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1400

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

2, 27

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment, which would remove term "substantially" as the term is not defined in the specification. The amendment would also change the title to better define the invention.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)